

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,405	YOUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner Charles Chow	Art Unit 2618	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Charles Chow. (3) _____.
 (2) Ognyan Beremski. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 40 and 51-60.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kang & Sullivan.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Charles Chow
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Authorization for this examiner's amendment has been given from attorney Ognyan Beremski in a telephone interview on April 2, 2007.

Claim 40 has been cancelled & claims 51-60 are amended as follows:

In line 1 of claim 51, replacing the words "machine-readable storage" with ----computer-readable medium----

In lines 4 of claim 51, replacing the word "machine" in "machine for causing the machine" with ----computer for causing the computer----

In lines 1 of each claim in claims 52-60, replacing the words "machine-readable storage" with ----computer-readable medium----